	Cade c.e. ev coe ie evv Becament 21	1 1100 12/00/2007 1 ago 1 0/ 12					
1	Louis Guerra, Jr. 1533 Foxdale Court San Jose, CA 95122						
2							
3	Telephone: (408) 550-5106 Plaintiff Pro Se						
4							
5	Cara Ching-Senana, State Bar No. 209407						
6	JACKSON LEWIS LLP 199 Fremont Street, 10th Floor San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 394-9400 Facsimile: (415) 394-9401 Attorneys for Defendant						
7							
8							
9	NETFLIX, INC.						
10							
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION						
13							
14							
15	LOUIS CHEDDA ID	Case No. C-07-3343 JW					
16	LOUIS GUERRA, JR., Plaintiff,	Case 110. C-07-33+3 3 W					
17	,	JOINT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE THE COURT'S 11/28/2007 SCHEDULING ORDER; ORDER					
18	v. NETFLIX,						
19	Defendant.	Complaint filed: June 26, 2007					
20	Defendant.	No Trial Date Set					
21							
22							
23							
24							
2526							
27							
28							
۷۵	JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE THE COURT'S 11/28/2007 SCHEDULING ORDER; ORDER Case No. C-07-3343 JW						

Case 5:07-cv-03343-JW Document 27 Filed 12/06/2007 Page 1 of 12

1	Plaintiff Pro Se Louis Guerra, Jr. and Defendant Netflix, Inc. (collectively referred to		
2	herein as "the Parties") hereby stipulate to the following continuances in light of the following		
3	circumstances, as follows:		
4	1. Prior to November 28, 2007, the Parties jointly requested a teleconference		
5	with the Court's ADR office in order to discuss their agreement to		
6	participate in an early settlement conference ("ESC");		
7	2. On the morning of November 28th, the Parties participated in a		
8	teleconference with the Court's ADR office. At that time, the Parties		
9	requested that an ESC be held as soon as possible. However, the Parties		
10	were informed that in all likelihood an ESC would not be convened until		
11	sometime in mid- to late-January 2008, due to the holiday season and the		
12	magistrate judge's availability;		
13	Prior to, and again on, November 28, 2007, the Parties agreed to suspend		
14	all discovery until after an ESC is held. Prior to, and again on, November		
15	28th, the Parties requested that all discovery and motions deadlines follow		
16	the ESC in this case;		
17	4. Following the teleconference with the Court's ADR office, the Court		
18	issued a Scheduling Order, attached hereto as Exhibit A. Under the terms		
19	of the Scheduling Order, various discovery and motions deadlines will		
20	occur before an ESC can be convened. Under the Scheduling Order, the		
21	parties also would be required to engage in discovery immediately in		
22	order to comply with various discovery deadlines; and		
23	5. The Parties respectfully request that the Court amend the November 28,		
24	2007 Scheduling Order as follows:		
25	i. Continue by no less than four (4) months all relevant dates, including		
26	dates by which the Parties shall complete expert discovery, complete		
27	non-expert discovery, and file dispositive and non-dispositive		
28	motions; and		
	2		

1	6. The Parties envision that such an amendment would provide sufficier					
2	time to engage in discovery after an ESC is held, if necessary.					
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED.					
4						
5	Respectfully Submitted,					
6						
7	Dated: December <u>4</u> , 2007					
8						
9	By: Kung Dune f					
10	Louis Guerra, Jr. Plaintiff Pro Se					
11	Training) 170 de					
12	Dated: December 5, 2007 JACKSON LEWIS LLP					
13						
14	By: /s/					
15	Bradley W. Kampas Cara Ching-Senaha					
16	Attorneys for Defendant NETFLIX, INC.					
17	H \N\Netflix Inc-Guerra (114259)\Cuerra (114259)\Pleadings\Stipulation and Order to Continue Scheduling Order CMC 120507.doc					
18						
19						
20						
15						
22						
23						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
_	3					
	JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE THE COURT'S 11/28/2007 SCHEDULING ORDER Case No. C-07-3343 JW					

Case 5:07-cv-03343-JW Document 27 Filed 12/06/2007 Page 4 of 12 **EXHIBIT A** JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE THE COURT'S 11/28/2007 SCHEDULING ORDER Case No. C-07-3343 JW

28

_{II}Case 5:07-cv-03343-JW

1	Case 5:07-cv-03343-JW	Document 26	Filed 11/28/2007	Page 1 of 6			
1							
2							
3							
4							
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
7	SAN JOSE DIVISION						
8	Louis Guerra Jr.,						
9	Plaintiff,		No. C 07-03343 JW				
10	v.		SCHEDULING OF	RDER			
11	Netflix, Inc.,						
12	Defendant.						
13		/					
14 15	Upon filing, this case was scheduled for a case management conference on December 3,						
16	2007. Pursuant to the Federal	Rules of Civil Pro	ocedure and Local Rule	es of this Court, the parties			
17	conferred and duly submitted a Joint Case Management Statement and Proposed Order. Based on						
18	their joint submission, it appears that a schedule for the case can be set without the necessity of an						
19	appearance at this time. Accordingly, the case management conference is vacated and the parties						
20	are ordered to comply with the following schedule:						
21	CASE SCHEDULE						
22				T			
23	Close of All Discovery (¶ 9)		4 10)	February 4, 2008			
24	Last Date for Hearing Dispositive Motions (¶ 10) (60 days before Preliminary Pretrial Conference)		April 7, 2008				
25	Preliminary Pretrial Conference at 11 a.m. (¶ 12)			June 9, 2008			
26	Preliminary Pretrial Confe (Due 10 days before confere	May 30, 2008					

Deadline for parties to contact Court's ADR Program to discuss an appropriate ADR process for this case (¶ 15) (15 days after the date of this Order)

Document 27

Filed 12/06/2007

Page 5 of 12

December 15, 2007

Case 5:07-cv-03343-JW Document 27 Filed 12/06/2007 Page 6 of 12 Case 5:07-cv-03343-JW Document 26 Filed 11/28/2007 Page 2 of 6

None of the dates set in this order may be changed without an order of the court made after a motion is duly filed and made pursuant to the local rules of this court.

Standing Order to Lodge Printed Copy of "ECF" Papers

1. In all cases, including cases covered by the Electronic Case Filing System of the Court "ECF," when filing papers in connection with any motion or any pretrial conference, in addition to filing the paper electronically, the filing parties shall lodge with the Clerk's Office a printed copy of the papers, in an envelop clearly marked "Chamber's Copy – Lodged for the Chambers of Judge James Ware." The "Chamber's Copy" envelop must state the case name and case number and be delivered on or before the close of the next court day following the day the papers are filed electronically. See Standing Order Regarding Case Management in Civil Cases.

Compliance with Discovery Plan and Reference to Magistrate Judge

2. The Court adopts the Discovery Plan proposed by the parties in their Joint Case Management Statement. The parties are ordered to comply with the discovery plan. Any disputes with respect to the implementation of the discovery plan and all disclosure or discovery disputes are referred to the assigned Magistrate Judge. In addition, any disputes pertaining to service or joinder of parties or claims are referred to the assigned Magistrate Judge.

Document Management During Pretrial Discovery and Electronic Evidence Presentation

3. This Court has available a digital and video electronic evidence presentation system. Before commencement of pretrial discovery, the parties are ordered to familiarize themselves with the system, and to meet and confer about whether the case will involve voluminous documentary. If so, as the parties identify documentary material which is likely to be used as trial exhibits, the parties are ordered to electronically store these materials in a fashion which will facilitate displaying them electronically during the trial. The parties are reminded that Civil L.R. 30-2(b) requires sequential numbering of exhibits during depositions and that numbering must be maintained for those exhibits throughout the litigation. Each proposed exhibit shall be pre-marked for identification. All exhibits shall be marked with numerals. The parties shall meet and confer on

Case 5:07-cv-03343-JW Document 27 Filed 12/06/2007 Page 7 of 12

Case 5:07-cv-03343-JW Document 26 Filed 11/28/2007 Page 3 of 6

a division which will avoid duplication (<u>e.g.</u>, Plaintiff: 1-99,000; Defendant #1: 100,000-299,999; Defendant #2: 300,000-500,000).

Disclosure of Expert Witnesses

- 4. Any party wishing to present expert witness testimony with respect to a claim or a defense shall lodge with the Court and serve on all other parties the name, address, qualifications, résumé and a written report which complies with Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(2)(B) 63 days before close of discovery. Expert witness disclosure must be made with respect to a person who is either (a) specially retained or specially employed to provide expert testimony pursuant to Fed.R.Evid. 702 or (b) a regular employee or agent or treating physician who may be called to provide expert opinion testimony.
- 5. The parties are also required to lodge any supplemental reports to which any expert will testify at trial in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(2)(B).
- 6. Any party objecting to the qualifications or proposed testimony of an expert must file, serve and notice a motion to exclude the expert or any portion of the expert's testimony in writing in accordance with Civil Local Rule 7-2, for hearing no later than 42 DAYS AFTER BOTH EXPERT AND REBUTTAL EXPERT DISCLOSURES ON A MONDAY (LAW AND MOTION DAY) at 9:00 a.m. and preferably before or on the same day as the discovery cutoff date at 9:00 a.m.

Rebuttal Expert Witnesses

7. If the testimony of the expert is intended solely to contradict or rebut opinion testimony on the same subject matter identified by another party, the party proffering a rebuttal expert shall make the disclosures required by Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(2)(B), no later than 49 days prior to discovery cutoff.

Limitation on Testimony by Expert Witnesses

8. Unless the parties enter into a written stipulation otherwise, upon timely objection, an expert witness shall be precluded from testifying about any actions or opinions not disclosed prior to the expert's deposition. This is to ensure that all factual material upon which

Case 5:07-cv-03343-JW Document 27 Filed 12/06/2007 Page 8 of 12 Case 5:07-cv-03343-JW Document 26 Filed 11/28/2007 Page 4 of 6

expert opinion may be based and all tests and reports are completed prior to the expert deposition.

Unless application is made prior to the close of expert discovery, each party will be limited to calling only one expert witness in each discipline involved in the case.

Close of Discovery

9. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 26-2, all discovery, including supplemental disclosure, depositions of fact witness and expert witnesses, must be completed on or before the deadline set forth in the Case Schedule above.

Last date for Hearing Dispositive Motions

10. The last day for hearing dispositive motions is set forth in the <u>Case Schedule</u> above. Any motions must be noticed in accordance with the Civil Local Rules of this Court.

Preliminary Pretrial and Trial Setting Conference Statement and Proposed Order

- 11. The attorneys who will try the case are ordered to confer with one another and to file and lodge with Chambers on or before the deadline set forth in the <u>Case Schedule</u> above a Preliminary Pretrial and Trial Setting Conference Statement and Proposed Order, stating their readiness for trial, the amount of time which the Court should allocate for trial and the calendar period for the trial.
- 12. The attorneys who will try the case are ordered to appear on the date set in the <u>Case Schedule</u> at 11:00 a.m. for a Preliminary Pretrial and Trial Setting Conference.
- 13. With respect to the time allocation for trial, at the Preliminary Pretrial and Trial Setting Conference trial counsel will be asked to stipulate to a time allocation to each side for the trial of the case. Once a stipulated allocation has been entered, the parties must plan their presentations to conform to the stipulated time allocation.
- 14. With respect to the calendar period for trial, based on the time allotted to the case, a calendar period for trial will be set. In the event it becomes necessary to delay the start of trial because of the Court's calendar, the commencement date will trail from day-to day until the other matter is concluded or further order of the Court.

Case 5:07-cv-03343-JW Document 27 Filed 12/06/2007 Page 9 of 12

Alternative Dispute Resolution

15. The parties are referred to the Court's ADR Program at (415) 522-2199. No later than the deadline set forth in the <u>Case Schedule</u>, the parties shall contact the ADR Program to schedule a conference.

Dated: November 28, 2007

JAMES WARE United States District Judge

Filed 12/06/2007 Page 10 of 12 Case 5:07-cv-03343-JW Document 27 Filed 11/28/2007 Page 6 of 6 Case 5:07-cv-03343-JW Document 26 THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO: Bradley W. Kampas kampasb@jacksonlewis.com Cara Mei Kam Ching-Senaha ching-senahac@jacksonlewis.com Louis Guerra 1533 Foxdale Ct. San Jose, CA 95122 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk Dated: November 28, 2007 By: /s/ JW Chambers Elizabeth Garcia **Courtroom Deputy**

1 **ORDER** 2 Pursuant to the Parties' Stipulation, attached hereto, and finding GOOD CAUSE therefore: 3 The current Scheduling Order dated November 28, 2007 is hereby amended as 1. 4 stated below; The following dates stated in the November 28, 2007 Scheduling Order are 5 2. continued for a minimum of four (4) months, as follows: 6 Close of All Discovery: continued from February 4, 2008 to June 4, 2008; 7 b. Last Date for Hearing Dispositive Motions: continued from April 7, 2008 to August 4, 2008; 8 c. Preliminary Pretrial Conference at 11 a.m.: continued from June 9, 2008 to 9 October , 2008; 10 d. Preliminary Pretrial Conference Statements (Due 10 days before conference): continued from May 30, 2008 to September 26, 2008; 11 e. Deadline for parties to contact Court's ADR Program to discuss as appropriate 12 ADR process for this case (15 days after the date of this Order): Inapplicable – ADR Conference held on 11/28/2007; and 13 All dates and terms stated in the November 28, 2007 Scheduling Order which are 14 3. not expressly continued above shall remain in full force and effect. 15 IT IS SO ORDERED. 16 17 18 DATED: December ____, 2007 HONORABLE JAMES W. WARE United States District Judge 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE THE COURT'S 11/28/2007 SCHEDULING ORDER

Case No. C-07-3343 JW

1 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Case Name: Guerra v. Netflix. 2 Case No.: USDC-ND; CV07-3343 JW 3 I, Cheryl K. Baltru, declare that I am employed with the law firm of Jackson Lewis 4 LLP, whose address is 199 Fremont Street, 10th Floor, San Francisco, California 94105; I am 5 over the age of eighteen (18) years and am not a party to this action. 6 On December (2), 2007, I served the attached JOINT STIPULATION TO 7 CONTINUE THE COURT'S 11/28/2007 SCHEDULING ORDER; ORDER in this action by 8 placing a true and correct copy thereof, enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as follows: 9 10 Louis Guerra, Jr. 1533 Foxdale Court 11 San Jose, CA 95122 Plaintiff Pro Se 12 13 BY MAIL: United States Postal Service by placing sealed envelopes with the postage Xthereon fully prepaid, placed for collection and mailing on this date, following ordinary 14 business practices, in the United States mail at San Francisco, California. 15 BY HAND DELIVERY: I caused such envelope(s) to be delivered by hand to the above []address. 16 BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I caused such envelope(s) to be delivered to the above [] 17 address within 24 hours by overnight delivery service. 18 BY FACSIMILE: I caused such document to be transmitted by facsimile from our fax []number (415) 394-9401 to the fax number indicated above (by written agreement, 19 confirming letter dated and signed MM/DD/YY). 20 I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at 21 whose direction the service was made. 22 Executed on December 2, 2007, at San Francisco, California. 23 24 25 26 27 28